		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	nv	10/813,513	GOOSSENS ET AL.	
Examiner-induced interview duminary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Peter Szekely	1714	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Abandoned</u>				
(1) <u>Peter Szekely</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>John Sieberth</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 26 July 2006		Time: 3:30 p.m.		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None.				
Claims discussed: None.				
Prior art documents discussed: None.				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Sieberth stated that the application will not be futher prosecuted.				
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
	•			
•				
20				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A)	pplicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appr	opriate)